

REQUEST FOR CONSIDERATION

Applicant's representative would like to request Examiner Martin to review this Response to the Restriction (dated 11/02/07) as an attempt to clarify the fact that the method and labeling claims are directly related to a system that includes a device for detection of acrylamide in

5 any food or food substance in that the claims of Groups II, III, IV, and IV all complement the utility of the claims restricted to Group I (claims 1-42), in other words these inventions are related in that they can and should be used together. If no generic claim is finally held to be allowable, applicant would choose the species claims directed to the enzymes as being which claim is the most generic claim and which associated species should be included based on the

10 election regarding this application.

The examiner has also stated that "a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added."

15 Claims 12-14 regarding the use of chromophores for acrylamide detection is the preferred species to be examined if no generic claim is finally held allowable.

A listing of all claims readable thereon is listed below;

12. A system as in Claim 5 wherein said chromophore is bromophenol blue.
- 20 13. A system as in Claim 5 wherein said chromophore is bromocresol green.
14. A system as in Claim 5 wherein said chromophore is chlorophenol red.

25

The examiner has indicated that claims 1,5, 22, 25, 42, 43, 44, 45, and 47 are generic and applicant wishes the examiner to examine these generic claims also.

Applicant submits that the application is now in condition for proper examination based on its merits, and early notification of such action is earnestly solicited.

Dated this 12th day of September, 2005

5

Respectfully Submitted,

By:

Guerry L. Grune

Reg. No. 46,745

ePatentmanager.com

784 Villier Ct.

Virginia Beach, VA 23452

10

15